



UCD Sutherland
School of Law



University of
Nottingham
Rights Lab

BARRIERS TO THE INVESTIGATION OF GENDER-BASED HATE CRIMES IN THE UNITED KINGDOM AND IRELAND

An empirical study

Policy Report

March 2022

Silvia Gagliardi, Ana Valverde-Cano and Orlaith Rice

The research for this report was funded by both Universitas 21 seed funding and University College Dublin School of Law Competition funding in 2021.

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors, and do not represent the views of University College Dublin, University of Nottingham, or Universitas 21.

U21
UNIVERSITAS21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- This report provides an overview of the obstacles to the successful investigation of gender-based hate crimes (GBHC) in the UK—England and Wales— and Ireland.
- This report and its recommendations are informed by primary, qualitative research with law enforcement officials in England and Wales and Ireland, working in the areas of gender-based violence and gender-based hate crimes.
- GBHC are explained and its parallels with gender-based violence as well as its impact on victims¹ and communities are analysed.
- Five barriers to the investigation of GBHC in the UK and Ireland are identified, namely: the absence of legislation; underreporting; institutional police culture and operational infrastructure; the evidential burden; and the lack of targeted resources. Proposals for reform pertaining to each barrier can be found at the end of each section.
- This report advocates for: the introduction of specific legislation developed with an intersectional approach; a nationally recognised definition of GBHC; a national reporting mechanism; increased police diversity; inter-agency collaboration; an awareness-raising campaign; targeted resources and specialised training.

¹ We mainly refer to victims rather than survivors throughout the report in order to encompass victims of fatal GBHC.

CONTENTS


Executive summary	1
Rationale for the research	2
Methodology.....	2
What is gender-based hate crime?	3
Current legislative frameworks.....	4
Impact of GBHC.....	5
Obstacles to investigation	5
Conclusions.....	10
Recommendations.....	10
References.....	11

RATIONALE FOR THE RESEARCH

Gender-based hate crimes (GBHC) are still a largely undefined and ambiguous category in hate crime law and policy, and are thus a rapidly evolving area for academics and policymakers. This research provides clarity on how GBHC are defined, identified, and investigated in both England and Wales, in the UK, and Ireland. These two jurisdictions were chosen to facilitate a comparative approach as a) they are neighbours sharing a common language and legal system and b) because the concept of gender in relation to hate crime is currently on the political agenda in both jurisdictions. This research is timely considering not only the so-called 'shadow pandemic' of domestic violence against women and girls that has worsened due to Covid-19 restrictions, but also the killings of Sarah Everard and Ashling Murphy in 2021 and 2022, respectively. The latter have prompted renewed social and political discourse about gender-based violence and violence against women.

METHODOLOGY

Empirical data were gathered via 10 semi-structured interviews with law enforcement officials, working in the area of hate crimes, in the summer of 2021. Five interviewees were from the UK and five were from Ireland. Law enforcement was the chosen sample as the impact of legislation is, to a large extent, determined by those implementing it on the ground. Six interviewees were male and four were female. The interviews aimed to ascertain how GBHC incidents are recorded and dealt with, even in the absence of specific GBHC legislation.



WHAT IS GENDER-BASED HATE CRIME?

Hate crimes are ‘criminal offences committed with a bias motive’.¹ Hate crime legislation often provides for a list of ‘protected characteristics’, for example, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, etc. A protected characteristic is one shared by a group of people which is ‘fundamental to their identity’.² A hate crime victim is targeted because they possess, or appear to possess, one of these protected characteristics. GBHC are hate crimes in which the bias motivation relates to the gender of the victim, expansively interpreted


While some jurisdictions differentiate amongst hate crimes based on ‘sex’, ‘gender’, ‘gender identity’ and ‘sexual orientation’, this report uses gender throughout as an umbrella term to refer to an evolving and changing concept that encompasses intersecting elements of sex, gender, identity, and sexuality.³ The category of GBHC might also include hate crimes against gender non-conforming and LGBTI individuals and groups, members of feminist associations, sex workers, etc. Intersectionality was highlighted by the interviewees as an important approach to understand GHBC, as gender is interwoven with other characteristics for which the victim might be targeted, and this affects how the victim perceives a crime perpetrated against them. An intersectional approach has been found to improve the quality of policymaking.⁴

GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE VERSUS GENDER-BASED HATE CRIME

The concept of GBHC is still new in law, policy, and research. Traditionally, gender-based violence (GBV) and violence against women (VAW) were treated as separate from hate crime in research. Scholars argue, however, that using a hate crime approach gives (male) violence against women an elevated legal significance⁵ and shifts the focus away from the victim’s conduct to the actions of the perpetrator,⁶ both of which may encourage victims to report their victimisation.⁷ The majority of research participants interviewed had favourable attitudes towards the inclusion gender-based violence under the umbrella of hate crimes.⁸


CURRENT LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORKS

IRELAND



Hate crime legislation does not currently exist in Ireland, although it has long been called for⁹ and a Criminal Justice (Hate Crime) Bill 2021 has been introduced by the Minister for Justice and is currently before Parliament (Oireachtas). The Minister reiterated her intention and efforts to publish the Hate Crime Bill in the wake of Ashling Murphy's killing in January 2022.¹⁰ If passed in its current form, it would cover eight protected characteristics, including gender. The Irish police force (An Garda Síochána) can, however, record incidents as 'hate-based' where necessary and have adopted a working definition of a hate crime as 'any criminal offence which is perceived, by the victim or any other person to, in whole or in part, be motivated by hostility or prejudice, based on actual or perceived age, disability, race, colour, nationality, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation or gender'.¹¹ Thus, the Garda working definition of hate crime includes gender. The Gardaí use the 'perception test' in relation to hate crimes; if the victim, or any other person, perceives that the criminal act was motivated by hate, then it must be recorded as such.

UK (ENGLAND AND WALES)



In England and Wales, hate crime is prohibited under several statutes: the Crime and Disorder Act 1998; the Public Order Act 1986; and the Criminal Justice Act 2003.¹² However, these statutes do not specifically refer to gender as one of the protected characteristics of the victim. Despite this, guidance from the College of Policing in 2014 stated that the five currently protected characteristics were the minimum categories,¹³ thus allowing some police forces to record GBHC on an ad hoc basis. Interview participants suggested that public and political opinion has shifted in the UK in recent years towards including gender as a protected characteristic in hate crimes. The reasons behind this shift include the rise of involuntary celibate (INCEL) movements, the escalation of abuse levelled at women and girls online, the misogynistic element of white supremacy ideology, and the general failure of current government policy countering gender-based violence. Following the killing of Sarah Everard in March 2021, the House of Lords have asked the police to start recording crimes motivated by misogyny.¹⁴

IMPACT OF GBHC

As with all hate crime, GBHC not only harms the victim (direct impact), but also harms the community who share that characteristic (e.g. women) at large (indirect impact).¹⁵ Furthermore, victims of GBHC may face secondary victimisation through the criminal justice response.¹⁶ The direct impact of hate crimes on the actual victim is also additionally traumatic because the victim is targeted due to an inherent aspect of their identity.¹⁷ Therefore, the impact may not be only physical but will often have a strong psychological impact as well. This greater harm caused by hate crime in contrast to ‘traditional’ crime is one of the main arguments for supporters of hate crime legislation.¹⁸

OBSTACLES TO INVESTIGATION

There is a lack of extant research evaluating the success of investigative and prosecutorial efforts in relation to GBHC. Barriers to the successful investigation of GBHC, as constructed from the first-hand, empirical data, are set out below.



1. LACK OF LEGISLATION

The police are an arm of the state and can only operate within the extant legal framework. The absence of specific GBHC legislation was flagged by research participants as the most challenging obstacle to the investigation of GBHC. Without specific legislation, investigators are operating in a vacuum. While hate incidents in Ireland can currently be charged as ‘traditional’ offences e.g. assault, harassment, sexual offences, public order offences, etc., interviewees were concerned that patterns of GBHC cannot be documented or tracked under the current ad hoc system. In the UK, GBHC is *not* one of the five centrally monitored hate crime strands, and thus similar concerns were raised by research participants working in the UK. Precursors to escalated violence are missed when there is no way to record GBHC in police systems.

The majority of interviewees supported the potential (Ireland) or hypothetical (UK) introduction of new hate crime legislation that would explicitly list gender as a protected characteristic. Even in instances where interviewees would not personally support it, they acknowledged that police forces would abide by any new introduction of legislation in this area. Previous research suggests that the existence of clear law and policy supports the successful investigation of hate crimes.¹⁹

Proposal for reform

This report recommends the introduction of legislation in Ireland and the UK explicitly including gender as a protected characteristic, utilising an intersectional approach to understanding hate crime victimisation.



2. UNDERREPORTING

The chronic underreporting of both hate crimes and sexual violence is well documented.²⁰ Underreporting was identified as a significant challenge for all hate crime, but GBHC in particular. Apart from the absence of legislation, contributing factors to this lack of reporting include a lack of public confidence in the justice system and a lack of awareness among victims, law enforcement, and society at large.

2.1 Lack of confidence in police and the justice process

Underreporting was attributed to a lack of trust in police forces by more than half of the research participants. Regarding the transgender community especially, there are ‘legacy issues’ in relation to the historical relations between certain groups and law enforcement. Problems such as fear of further stigmatisation, trauma, the potential of exposure to potential further attacks, slow and long criminal proceedings, and language and cultural barriers also emerged as obstacles to victims’ willingness to cooperate with the criminal justice system in both jurisdictions. It was hoped that a new online reporting mechanism in Ireland would increase reports from those who otherwise would not report a GBHC to the police in person. This new mechanism was launched towards the end of the data collection period on 21st July 2021.

The relationship between the police and the community has been identified as a key ingredient in the effective translation of hate crime policy into policing action.²¹ Participants argued that increased police diversity and an increased emphasis on community and neighbourhood policing would improve information gathering and foster confidence in policing. Similar suggestions for addressing the lack of reporting have also been proposed by previous research in this area.²²

Proposal for reform

This report recommends increased police diversity, increased community policing, and more effective outreach to marginalised groups, in order to combat underreporting in this area.

2.2 Lack of awareness

The Garda working definition of hate crimes was only established in 2019. Society at large needs to be aware of what might constitute a hate incident (in the absence of hate crime legislation) and specifically gender-based ones, in order to promote and improve reporting. Low-level harassment based on gender (cat calls, etc.) may be so normalised that there is a lack of awareness of hate crimes in relation to gender. Victims may not identify themselves as victims of a hate crime.²³ This was reflected in the Irish Minister for Justice's recent statement where she acknowledged that part of the solution to gender-based violence lay outside the criminal justice sphere.²⁴ Definitional ambiguities also hamper police awareness. Determining whether an incident qualifies as a hate crime in the first place is a massive barrier to effective hate crime policy enforcement.

Proposals for reform

This report recommends an awareness raising campaign to inform the public and potential victims of what constitutes a gender-based hate incident.

This report calls for a nationally recognised definition of GBHC to assist law enforcement in their investigative efforts.



3. INSTITUTIONAL CULTURE AND OPERATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Officers' personal prejudices and beliefs affect the investigation of GHBC and hate crimes in general.²⁵ While the perception test is important in addressing this, police prejudice is still a problem. While the interviewees did not flag major issues with police bias in their responses, it was acknowledged that the discretion afforded to police officers renders investigations subjective. Furthermore, the nature of investigations is impacted by individual leadership. The length of time it takes to investigate GBHC relative to other crimes can be a barrier if the institutional culture prioritises quantity of cases solved.

In addition to individual subjectivity, the social organisation of police forces also has consequences for how officers enforce hate crime law.²⁶ Prioritising hate crime within police departments has been found to encourage reporting and investigation.²⁷ Furthermore, when clear and established policy is in place, it has been found to override differing opinions about the nature of a particular crime amongst police officers.²⁸ There is heterogeneity between forces in the absence of coherent national policy on GBHC. To promote an operational infrastructure conducive to the investigation of GBHC, interviewees called for robust

reporting mechanisms and inter-agency collaboration in relation to GBHC, specifically in Ireland.

Proposal for reform

This report recommends increased inter-agency collaboration in relation to GBHC.



4. EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

The successful prosecution of a hate crime rests on proving, beyond a reasonable doubt, not only the crime (*actus reus*) but also the bias motivation (*mens rea*).²⁹ Almost all participants were acutely aware of the difficulty of evidencing the bias element in court (in the Irish context this was a hypothetical scenario). Half of the interviewees explicitly noted that the perception test is insufficient to ground a conviction. This highlights a real disconnect between the importance of the perception test during the investigation, and the need for concrete evidence of bias or prejudice during the prosecution stage in court. Disillusionment with this disconnect was expressed. The importance of victim evidence at trial in these sorts of cases was highlighted, which the literature has extensively problematised in relation to perceived victim credibility.³⁰

Hate crime investigations, like all investigations, are guided by the perceived likelihood of success at trial. The internal filtering process was mentioned by two interviewees as a barrier, as if the investigating officer is unable to convince a superior officer of the bias element, the case may not even reach the prosecution stage.

In terms of the proposed Irish Hate Crime Bill, interviewees were satisfied with the proposed two-tier approach. The hate element of the crime would be an ‘add-on’ to an existing crime, so that even if the hate crime element failed to be sufficiently proved in court, a conviction could still proceed on the original charge.

Proposals for reform

This report recommends the urgent passing of the Hate Crime Bill by the Oireachtas to allow for the prosecution of GBHC in Ireland.

This report advocates for the establishment of national reporting mechanisms in both the UK and Ireland that include gender amongst their protected characteristics.



5. RESOURCES AND TRAINING

Legislation needs resources for it to operate effectively. This point came across strongly in the interviews. Interviewees were concerned that new legislation, or other potential reforms, would ‘front-load’ more work onto the already overburdened police. Manning’s classic account of police work echoes this point; the police are subject to ‘ever-increasing public demand for a level of public order and crime prevention they cannot possibly fulfil’.³¹ There was also a general call for increased and specialised support for victims of GBHC and hate crimes more broadly.

Specialised training has a huge impact on the identification of hate crimes.³² The impact of training, or the lack thereof, on recording in relation to hate crimes was mentioned by all interviewees. Also mentioned was the inconsistency in training amongst departments and jurisdictions. Additional training was hypothesised to bolster police officer’s confidence in investigation such crimes. A new, mandatory, hate crime training module had been completed in Ireland as of July 2021, and was in the process of being rolled out to the entire organisation in Ireland at the time of data collection.

Proposals for reform

This report calls for increased resources specific to the investigation of GBHC, e.g. the establishment of specialised hate crime units, trained interpreters, and victim support.

This report recommends that specialised GBHC training be rolled out to police departments nationwide in both Ireland and the UK.



CONCLUSIONS

This policy report corroborates findings from other studies on hate crime, arguing that the current legislative frameworks in both the UK and Ireland are inadequate in tackling hate crimes with a gender bias. This report identified barriers to the successful investigation of GBHC in the UK and Ireland and proposed recommendations to address these barriers. Furthermore, of note from the empirical research this report draws from is the tendency on the part of interviewees to assume that GBHC or GBV refers exclusively to male violence against women. This mirrors advocacy research, which tends to rest on the same assumption. This report stresses the importance of valuing the perspectives of frontline stakeholders when suggesting proposals for reform. This report strongly supports using a survivor-centred and intersectional approach to address hate crimes, which is cognisant of and attentive to the various elements that intersect in shaping a victim's understanding and experience of hate crime.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1** > The introduction of legislation in Ireland and the UK explicitly including gender as a protected characteristic. The legislation should be inclusive of gender in its broadest interpretation.
- 2** > An increase in police diversity, increased community policing, and more effective outreach to marginalised groups.
- 3** > The launch of an awareness raising campaign to inform the public and potential victims of what might constitute a GBHC.
- 4** > The introduction of a nationally recognised definition of GBHC to assist law enforcement in their investigative efforts.

5



An increase in inter-agency collaboration in relation to GBHC.

6



The establishment of national reporting mechanisms in both the UK and Ireland in relation to GBHC. Reasonable accommodation should be provided in reporting mechanisms. The new Irish online reporting system should be evaluated and adopted in the UK if successful.

7



An increase in resources specific to the investigation of GBHC, e.g., the establishment of specialised hate crime units, trained interpreters, and victim support. These resources should address the inconsistencies between policymaking and policy implementation.

8



The roll out of specialised GBHC training to police departments nationwide in both Ireland and the UK.

REFERENCES

- ¹ *Understanding the Needs of a Hate Crime Victims* (2020) OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR): Poland, p. 9.
- ² *Understanding the Needs of a Hate Crime Victims* (2020) OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR): Poland, p. 9.
- ³ Yahyaoui Krivenko E (2020) *Gender and Human Rights: Expanding Concepts*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- ⁴ Lombardo, E and Rolandsen Agustín, L (2016) Intersectionality in European Union policymaking: The case of gender-based violence. *Politics (Manchester, England)* 36(4), 364-373.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395716635184>.
- ⁵ Brown C (2004) Legislating against hate crime in New Zealand: The need to recognise gender-based violence. *Victoria University of Wellington Law Review* 35(4): 591-608.
- ⁶ Walters MA and Tumath J (2014) Gender ‘hostility’, rape, and the hate crime paradigm. *Modern Law Review* 77(4): 563-596.
- ⁷ Mason-Bish H and Duggan M (2020) “Some men deeply hate women, and express that hatred freely”: Examining victims’ experiences and perceptions of gendered hate crime. *International Review of Victimology* 26(1): 112-134.

- 8 For a more detailed discussion, please see our article 'Understanding the shadow pandemic: Law enforcement perspectives on gender-based hate crimes and barriers to investigation in the United Kingdom and Ireland', under review in *Criminology and Criminal Justice*.
- 9 Schweppe J, Haynes A and Carr J (2014) A life free from fear: Legislating for hate crime in Ireland: An NGO perspective. University of Limerick, Ireland.
- 10 <https://www.gov.ie/en/speech/4d9d1-dail-statement-by-minister-for-justice-helen-mcentee-on-violence-against-women/> (accessed 31 January 2022).
- 11 <https://www.garda.ie/en/crime/hate-crime/what-is-hate-crime-.html> (accessed 2 December 2021).
- 12 Barker K and Jurasz O (2019) Online Misogyny as a Hate Crime. A Challenge for Legal Regulation. London: Routledge.
- 13 Hate crime operational guidance, College of Policing, 2014. Available at: <http://library.college.police.uk/docs/college-of-policing/Hate-Crime-Operational-Guidance.pdf> (accessed 24 September 2021).
- 14 BBC News (2021) Police to record crimes motivated by sex or gender on 'experimental basis'. *BBC News*, 17 March. Available at: <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-politics-56435550> (accessed 17 November 2021).
- 15 Craig KM (2002) Examining hate-motivated aggression: A review of the social psychological literature on hate crimes as a distinct form of aggression. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* 7(1): 85-101.
- 16 *Understanding the Needs of a Hate Crime Victims* (2020) OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR): Poland, p. 15.
- 17 Brown C (2004) Legislating against hate crime in New Zealand: The need to recognise gender-based violence. *Victoria University of Wellington Law Review* 35(4): 591-608.
- 18 Al-Hakim M and Dimock S (2012) Hate as an aggravating factor in sentencing. *New Criminal Law Review* 15(4): 572-611, p. 588.
- 19 Phillips ND (2009) The prosecution of hate crimes: The limitations of the hate crime typology. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 24(5): 883-905; Turpin-Petrosino C (2015) *Understanding Hate Crimes: Acts, Motives, Offenders, Victims, and Justice*. New York: Routledge.
- 20 Fernández-Fontelo A, Cabaña A, Joe H, et al. (2019) Untangling serially dependent underreported count data for gender-based violence. *Statistics in Medicine*, 38(22), 4404-4422. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.8306>; Lantz B, Gladfelter AS, and Ruback RB (2017) Stereotypical hate crimes and criminal justice processing: A multi-dataset comparison of bias crime arrest patterns by offender and victim race. *Justice Quarterly* 36(2): 193-224; Morabito MS, Pattavina A, and Williams LM (2019) It all just piles up: Challenges to victim credibility accumulate to influence sexual assault case processing. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 34(15), 3151-3170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516669164>
- 21 Perry B (2010) Counting – and countering – hate crime in Europe. *European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law and Criminal Justice*, 18(4): 349-367.
- 22 Balboni J and McDevitt J (2001) Hate crime reporting: Understanding police officers' perceptions, departmental protocol, and the role of the victim. Is there such a thing as a "love" crime? *Justice Research and Policy* 3(1): 1-27; Walfield SM, Socia KM and Powers RA (2017) Religious motivated hate crimes: Reporting to law enforcement and case outcomes. *American Journal of Criminal Justice* 42(1): 148-169.

- 23 Chakraborti N, Garland J, and Hardy S (2014) *Victims' Manifesto: The Leicester Hate Crime Project*, Leicester: University of Leicester.
- 24 <https://www.gov.ie/en/speech/4d9d1-dail-statement-by-minister-for-justice-helen-mcentee-on-violence-against-women/> (accessed 31 January 2022).
- 25 Franklin K (2002) Good intentions: The enforcement of hate crime penalty-enhancement statutes. *American Behavioral Scientist* 46(1): 154-172; King RD, Messner SF, and Baller RD (2009) Contemporary hate crimes, law enforcement, and the legacy of racial violence. *American Sociological Review* 74(2): 291-315.
- 26 Martin SE (1995) "A cross-burning is not just an arson": Police social construction of hate crime in Baltimore County. *Criminology* 33(3): 303-32; Martin SE (1996) Investigating hate crimes: Case characteristics and law enforcement responses. *Justice Quarterly* 13(5): 455-480.
- 27 Nolan JJ and Akiyama Y (1999) An analysis of factors that affect law enforcement participation in hate crime reporting. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice* 15(1): 111-127; Nolan JJ and Akiyama Y (2002) Assessing the climate for hate crime reporting in law enforcement organisations: A force-field analysis. *The Justice Professional*, 15(2): 87-103.
- 28 Balboni J and McDevitt J (2001) Hate crime reporting: Understanding police officers' perceptions, departmental protocol, and the role of the victim. Is there such a thing as a "love" crime? *Justice Research and Policy* 3(1): 1-27, p. 15.
- 29 Barker K and Jurasz O (2019) *Online Misogyny as a Hate Crime. A Challenge for Legal Regulation*. London: Routledge.
- 30 Menaker TA and Cramer, RJ (2012) The victim as witness: Strategies for increasing credibility among rape victim-witnesses in court. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice* 12(5), 424-438.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15228932.2012.713829>; Mindthoff A, Goldfarb D, and Behre, KA (2019) How social science can help us understand why family courts may discount women's testimony in intimate partner violence cases. *Family Law Quarterly* 53(3), 243-264.
- 31 Manning PK (1977/1997) *Police work: The Social Organization of Policing*. 2nd edn, Prospect Heights: Waveland Press, p.32.
- 32 Hardy S and Chakraborti N (2020) *Blood, Threats and Fears. The Hidden Worlds of Hate Crime Victims*. United Kingdom: Palgrave Hate Studies. This is in line with previous research assessing hate crime training among the Police in specific jurisdictions, such as Trickett L and Hamilton P (2018) *Hate Crime Training of Police Officers in Nottingham: A Critical Review*. Nottingham Trent University.
<http://irep.ntu.ac.uk/id/eprint/28089/7/5642Trickett.pdf> (accessed 10 March 2021).



UCD Sutherland
School of Law



University of
Nottingham
Rights Lab

DISCOVER MORE ABOUT OUR RESEARCH AT

www.ucd.ie/law/research

nottingham.ac.uk/rights-lab



silvia.gagliardi@ucd.ie



anab.valverde@uam.es

© University College Dublin and University of Nottingham 2022.
All rights reserved. Published March 2022.

The researchers express gratitude to the law enforcement officials who generously gave their time to this project, and wish to convey that any errors in this report are the researchers' own.